

## Technology Insertion: Pure Play or Witches' Brew?

Dutch Holland, CEO, and Gary Skarke, Managing Director, Holland & Davis

It has been said that running a business is easy; all you have to do is buy some stuff, sell some stuff ... and that is all there is to it ... except for a few million details. It might also be said that inserting new technology is simple; all you have to do is buy/build some software and then implement it ... and that is all there is to it, except for a few million details.


Tongue in cheek? Of course, but there is nothing tongue in cheek about inserting new digital energy technology into upstream assets while production is running flat out. While much of the technology holds great promise, the difficulty in implementation is much more complex and difficult than imagined.

Technology can be a real problem solver only if two conditions are met: the technology must work and it must be successfully implemented in upstream business units. During the rollout, the workable technology system must be made ready for users, and users must be made ready to use the new technology. Simple? Not really. Industrywide, many technology insertions continue to be highly problematic, producing disastrous results.

Why? Primarily it is that one “deadly” word that inevitably breeds widespread confusion: implementation. The truth is that there are two legitimate, but very different, ways of getting new technology into play—deployment and adoption. Both ways require different actions and generate different reactions and results. Consequently, when people are not clear whether implementation means deploying or adopting a particular technology, be prepared for a technology insertion failure.

Consider how these pure, very different, very technical rollout strategies are defined. When management decides a technology will be good for the company and mandates that all units use it for business operations by a specific date, that is a “deployment” or taking an action requiring others to respond. Imagine the chief of operations of an airline saying to pilots that the new engine-start procedure is to be used for all engine starts beginning Monday morning at 0800 hours. That is a deployment.

*Dutch Holland is CEO and Gary Skarke is a Managing Director of Houston-based Holland & Davis (www.hdinc.com), management consultants to the oil and gas industry for more than three decades.*



**Fig. 1—Adoption usually lags months if not years behind target-date deployment.**

In contrast, the second rollout strategy is “adoption.” Management says, “Yes, that technology is valuable” but let each business unit decide “if and when” it will voluntarily adopt it. Imagine the chief of operations of an airline saying to pilots that a new navigation aid is to be installed in all cockpits as a supplement to other aids. The chief then says, you guys can give it a try and see if it works for you. That is an adoption.

The oil patch is not an airline, and its chiefs are not accustomed to being explicit and precise in the way they talk about implementations of new technology. You might hear a top manager say about a new technology, “Looks good; let’s go with it.” That statement might be interpreted as either a deployment or an adoption. However, failure of top management to decide and clearly declare deployment or adoption defaults to the adoption strategy for implementation.

### Either Strategy Works

Either strategy works so neither is superior. However, opting for technology osmosis through adoption can have a big business impact because of the time lag. By the way, “technology” is inclusive terminology for know-how



## Proven. Premium. Performance.

Tenaris and Hydril have come together to offer the industry's most diverse line of high-performance premium connections that can withstand the harshest environments anywhere in the world. We offer market-leading solutions, including both TenarisBlue® and Wedge Series 500™ technology to serve the complex needs of the global oil and gas industry. Our premium quality and advanced technology is backed by worldwide technical support, services and commitment to R&D that is keeping us at the forefront of the industry. TenarisHydril – a new world of premium connections.

**Tubular technologies. Innovative services.**

[www.tenaris.com/tenarishydril](http://www.tenaris.com/tenarishydril)

 **TenarisHydril**

expressed in tangible form: anything from drilling technology to back-office information technology. **Fig. 1** shows the relative speed of utilization for the two strategies, with adoption usually lagging months if not years behind target-date deployment.

Not only are utilization timelines vastly different, each insertion method has a unique action formula. If that formula is not followed, or if insertion actions are mixed, not only will the insertion not get accomplished but the organization may slide backward with inferior results and bad blood. Again, implementation's fatal flaw is the fact that people are not on the same page with each other about whether a deployment or adoption is intended, instead putting into play a counterproductive bits and pieces "witches' brew" rollout.

Illustrative of a deployment is when an oil company puts a drilling package in all of its North Sea assets on a fixed schedule with expected compliance by all. Although deployment is not autocratic, it is definitely insistent and forceful—every person's cooperation is expected internally and failure to cooperate will have clear performance evaluation consequences.

Distinctly apart from deployment, as noted previously, adoption is voluntary by the business unit. For example, an oil and gas company may distribute companywide "a new, more efficient decision-making application" and expect

that some units will soon adopt the application and other units will follow. The message is that the application is not a requirement but an equal opportunity offer.

However, the adoption is not just put idly on the table. Instead, everyone is expected to become an adopter of these "better ways of doing business" on a sooner or later basis. Therefore, while not trumpeting any penalties, the statement is that nonadopters should not endanger the company's welfare by not participating because they will stand out like a sore thumb. It is the "good citizen" approach with lots of time flexibility.

In the midst of these technology insertion opportunities and problems is a profound change within the industry. Many companies have journeyed away from a single asset owner making all the management calls, instead moving toward treating individual assets in various parts of the world as business profit centers. So, top management may frequently see valid reasons for companywide deployment but not express that conclusion explicitly to respect the business unit's autonomy and not impose a blanket dictum, or deployment.

#### Using Specific Formula

Either way, the technologist needs to understand what that decision is—deployment or adoption. In other words, it must be crystal clear that when management declares everyone should use the new technology now, it is a deploy-

# Just Add Water.

## SWELLFIX Swellable Elastomer Technology Begins to Swell on Contact of Water or Oil—Isolating Production Zones and Improving Well Efficiency

**Swellable Elastomers:** a dynamic, yet simple solution for zonal isolation and inflow control.

SWELLFIX is a global leader in swellable elastomers — a dynamic, yet **simple** solution for zonal isolation and inflow control in horizontal and vertical wells.

Our customers experience a significant improvement in their production **efficiency** — increasing output and decreasing water intrusion.

With more than **5,000** installations to date — under a broad range of land and sea environments and no reported failures — **SWELLFIX** offers failsafe **reliability** making it the natural choice for zonal isolation. Simple. Efficient. Reliable. **SWELLFIX**.



Total Installations to Date: More Than 5,000

Americas  
5300 West Sam Houston Parkway, Suite 101  
Houston, Texas 77041  
Phone: +1 713-547-5200  
[www.swellfix.com](http://www.swellfix.com)

ment. Not surprisingly, deployment mandates having a “take charge” person or executive in charge. Otherwise, nobody is running the show. In contrast, if management simply gives permission for using the technology, it is an adoption. The latter, more laidback approach, only requires a sponsor who is responsible, or maybe even a backer.

All these factors bring the issue to the critical point: each type of technology insertion follows a unique formula with a different set of required actions. Picking and choosing selectively will not work. If any parts of a formula are skipped, certain failure will result.

For deployment, the formula consists of action steps used in engineering organizational change: communicating a clear vision about using the new technology, altering processes to mesh with the technology, modifying plant and tools, tailoring the performance management system, and project management. On the other side of the coin, the adoption formula consists of action steps from the decades-old field of “diffusion of innovation.” These steps are: inform/share, convene, case study, demonstrate, answer/support, and project management.

For all the optimism that technology insertion should embody, it is nevertheless important to recognize the impact of negative steps, too. In other words, wrongly selected action steps undermine the technology insertion. These are referred to as negatives even though possibly appearing

benign or even somewhat positive. Using even one wrongly selected step can jeopardize any planned change and even cause outright failure.

### Conclusion

Because the industry’s future springs from new technology, effective formulas are needed to put that technology into place. When introducing new technology, either of two pure plays will work but implementation must involve a formulaic approach or desired results simply will not happen.

Therefore, the road to success begins with identifying the focus of the performance improvement opportunity. Then validate the technology for enabling delivery of the business opportunity. Next, determine executive intent and clarify “decision to deploy” or “permission to adopt.” Finally, select the matching implementation strategy and take formula-driven actions, being certain to block out any negative actions.

In technology insertions, today’s vital issue continues to be the confusion in technical and business communities about implementation or rollout strategies, with many technology advocates still using the “witches’ brew” approach to implementation. And that is where potential success sinks in quicksand. By unscrambling rollout strategies and sticking to proven formulas, technology insertions will meet or exceed the satisfaction of even the most ardent implementation critics.

**JPT**



**We are here.**  
Trinidad and Tobago

Canadian Superior Energy Inc. knows the advantage of a global partner. Far-reaching knowledge.

For a challenging three-well project offshore Trinidad and Tobago, Knowledge Systems used **Drillworks®** **Presage** software and **ConnectML™** protocol to achieve efficient drilling, reduced uncertainty and minimized risk. All the way to TD.

Knowledge Systems can do the same for you in your world. Offshore or on. Find out more about this project and others by visiting [www.knowsys.com/here](http://www.knowsys.com/here).



**Knowledge Systems**

More knowledge. Fewer surprises.

HOUSTON LONDON PERTH